Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Our L. A. Isn"t open with the public regarding the plans, OPEN CONSULTATION is a must, the only council that is being upfront regarding of this is STOCKPORT. Taking a stance against a vision that is wrong.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	We need to be confident that AFFORDABLE HOUSING is going to be available to those who need.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Туре	Web

Our strategic objectives	1. Meet our housing need
- Considering the information provided for	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
our strategic objectives,	10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
please tick which of these objectives your	
written comment refers	
to:	
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In	No
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In	No
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	
Redacted reasons -	We the public don"t trust what is said to be happening.
Please give us details of why you consider the	
consultation point not	
to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to	
comply with the duty to	
co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Туре	Web

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	Thinking about the quays when building MEDIA City we were promised jobs for people from the North, it didn"t happen.

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 4 Port Salford
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoSiven NameEaseyFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 7 North East Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively urbination point not to soperate?UnsoundSoundness - South Point Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Fostively compliant?NoSoundness - Consistent with national policy?NoSoundness - Consistent with national policy?NoSoundness - Soutified?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - Legally consultation point not to be legally compliant, la sunsound or falls to conparate. Please bear sprecise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Positively with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Suttified?UnsoundSoundness - Suttified?UnsoundSoundness - Suttified?UnsoundSoundness - Suttified?Unsound <th></th> <th></th>		
Compliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 7 North East Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundCompliance - Legally our soundNoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoSoundness - Gonsistent with national policy?NoSoundness - Settive? Compliance - Legally our soundNoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be as precise as possibleIfeel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Sustified?UnsoundSoundness - Sustified?UnsoundSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Floctive? Given NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Floctively Compliance - LegallyUnsoundSoundness - Gonsiste		Unsound
compliant?NoCompliance - in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 7 North East Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - PositivelyUnsoundSoundness - PositivelyUnsoundSoundness - SottivelyUnsoundSoundness - ConsistentUnsoundCompliance - LegallyNoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoPlease give us details or why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to cooperate. Please be as procision as possible.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Spositively us unsoundGiven NameCaroleFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Spositively with national policy?Soundness - Legally Compliance - Legally to policySoundness - Substrief Compliance - LegallyGiven NameCaroleFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCaroleForson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - LegallyUnsoundCompliance - Legall	Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?EaseyFamily NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 7 North East Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally or all these plans.NoCompliance - In accordance with the puty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details or all these plans.Ifel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again or all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively unsoundGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively unsoundSoundness - Sositieti with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with nati		No
Given Name       Carole         Person ID       1285762         Title       JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor         Type       Web         Soundness - Positively prepared?       Unsound         Soundness - Consistent with national policy?       Unsound         Soundness - Consistent with national policy?       Unsound         Soundness - Effective?       Unsound         Compliance - Legally compliance - Legally compliante us details       No         Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?       No         Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible.       I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.         Family Name       Easey       I these plans.         Given Name       Carole       Person ID         Person ID       1285762       ITitle         JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor       Type         Soundness - Positively prepared?       Unsound         Soundness - Justified?       Unsound         Soundness - Sonistent with national policy?       Unsound         Soundness - Effective?       Unsound         Compliance - Legally       No	accordance with the	No
Person ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 7 North East Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the cosultation point not to be legally compliant, su nsound or fails to compliante as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEasey Given NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleTitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Sonsittent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective? Compliant, cosperate.UnsoundMith national policy?SoundSoundness - Ensible.EaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Lossittent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Lossittent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Family Name	Easey
TitleJP-Strat 7 North East Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to complaint, be sa precise as possible.Ifeel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Lonsistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Given Name	Carole
TypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally complant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of the belagily compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please beas precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Logalited prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Legalited prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Legalited?UnsoundSoundness - Legalited?Unsound <t< th=""><th>Person ID</th><th>1285762</th></t<>	Person ID	1285762
Soundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Lossittent vith national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Lossitter prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Lossitter vith national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Lossitter vith national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Legally vith nat	Title	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
prepared?Soundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - Legally compliant?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Lystified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?Unsound	Туре	Web
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively with national policy?Soundness - Legally compliantUnsoundSoundness - Sconsistent with national policy?NoSoundness - Legally compliant, is unsoundUnsound		Unsound
with national policy?Soundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively with national policy?Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective? UnsoundUnsoundSoundness - Legally NoNo	Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective? UnsoundUnsoundCompliance - Legally NoNo		Unsound
compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.I feel we need to say No enough, How much money is being wasted again on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively with national policy?Soundness - Effective? UnsoundUnsoundKompliance - Legally NoNo		No
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.on all these plans.Family NameEaseyGiven NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundNoNo	accordance with the	No
Given NameCarolePerson ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be	
Person ID1285762TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Family Name	Easey
TitleJP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth CorridorTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Given Name	Carole
TypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Person ID	1285762
Soundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Title	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
prepared?Soundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Туре	Web
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	-	Unsound
with national policy?Soundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - LegallyNo	Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally No		Unsound
	Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
· ·		No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 11 New Carrington
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Bury needs to listen to the people, we don"t need telling that we need to grow and adapt. Town centres need to be thriving not killing off by housing.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Always saying that they will invest in Nature and the environment we need to be assured this will happen. All this building is killing our green spaces.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Our tram system is the worst system in the world. Until its fixed stop growing it.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	What utter rubbish causing exclusive neighbourhoods.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Too many already built empty facilities around us use them.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Why is office space required when due to covid a change of work environment is the way forward again too many empty buildings around.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No again too many empty buildings around the area. Taking up valuable space for nothing, changing our landscape for ever. Short term gain.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
	1

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	We need to stand alone, stand up for our surroundings, we don"t need all this development in Bury. Yes we need housing but this is silly.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	STOP. LOOK LISTEN WE DON'T WANT OR NEED THIS.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	We need to stand alone, stand up for our surroundings, we don"t need all this development in Bury. Yes we need housing but this is silly.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	We don't need this.
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Supporting Evidence
Туре	Web
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<ul><li>Whatever we the public say your not listening too us, bullying and bulldozing our environment without thought for financial gain.</li><li>We don't need or want to be included in the places for people.</li><li>We want to be heard not ignored.</li></ul>
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Easey
Given Name	Carole
Person ID	1285762
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound

Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The vision for Greater Manchester has been desktop planned without proper engage consultation from the very beginning. Any consultations that have taken place have deterrent asking far too many intrusive questions of residents to put them off complet the consultations have been designed in such a way that they are difficult to respond residents with limited I.T skills or digital access. Local councils have not properly pull ensure a place for everyone plan is communicated to everyone. The plan should have by the residents for the residents to address our actual housing requirements over the The above demonstrates a clear lack of community involvement which goes against constitution and makes the preparation of this plan unsound.
	-It is questionable whether PfE and the GMSF can effectively be treated as the same must be decided in court before "Places for Everyone" can proceed any further. It is transition between a spatial framework (GMSF) and a Joint Development plan (PfE) without a significant re-write. While the GMSF may have been established as legally con- with Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning regulations) and could therefore to final public consultation and submission under Regulation 19 (this current stage) I established. If there is any substantial difference in scope between the GMSF and P assumed that Regulation 18 is Automatically satisfied for PfE. Para 1.23 states "The between GMSF 2020 and PfE 2021 are not insignificant in numerical terms, indeed a plan have seen some form of change." So, is "not insignificant" the same as "substated

	Places for Everyone Representation 2021
	plan is not legal. This can only be established by a proper judicial review. So until protection the plan must be considered illegal and not put to Government.
	Soundness
	Soundness
	-The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impact of Covid-19. Housing need must be re-assessed using the latest (2018) ONS population take into account the effect of Covid on work patterns.
	-There is little detail on how the required infrastructure will be paid for. The plan need to identify how all the infrastructure will be paid
	-There are no partners or industries identified for employment provision. Major partners provision should be identified.
	-There has been poor public consultation, a lack of accessible information and little s in generating awareness. Interest in the plan has mainly been generated by local pro- public consultations should be repeated, providing clear, understandable information designed to encourage rather than discourage public input.
	-The site selection process has been opaque with no explanation as to why some sit sites" were excluded from the plan. https://mappinggm.org.uk/call-for-sites/#os_maps_outdoor/16/53.6380/-2.3228 The be repeated using National and GMCA guidelines for site selection. Meetings with publ should be held and minutes should be published. The rationale for the selection/reject should be available including considered alternatives.
	-Several of the authorities involved have c
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This plan needs to go back to Regulation 18 of the Town and Country planning act a prepared with proper public engagement and consultation.
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Our strategic objectives	1. Meet our housing need
- Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	2. Create neighbourhoods of choice
	3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved
	4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets
	5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity
	6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information
	7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral
	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
	9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

## 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities

	10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Please take the time to read in full the supporting documents I have provided to you this plan fails on all the above points.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Please take the time to read in full the supporting documents I have provided to you this plan fails on all the above points.
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	GMCA made the decision to move a poorly prepared plan forward to the publication s and Country planning Act even though major changes have been made to the plan sin of consultation. For example Stockport withdrew from what was the GMSF and Manche has had a 35% uplift applied to their housing targets to be met within that specific and the plan has changed significantly and therefore requires going back to proper consulta directly affected to comment further.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	As above the plan needs to go back to proper consultation with the residents of Grea
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf

	· ····································
	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 4 Port Salford
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally	No
compliant?	
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham

Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf

## PFE1287642\_SOSWalshaw.pdf

	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 11 New Carrington
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance Levelly	Na
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet

Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	
-	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound
prepared?	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound
prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound Unsound
prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound Unsound
prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Soundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound Unsound Unsound
prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Soundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally compliant? Compliance - In accordance with the	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound Unsound Unsound No

Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-S 7 Resource Efficiency
Type Include files	Web PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	

1287642
JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Web
PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
No
No
Eastham
Juliet
1287642
JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Web
PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
No
No
Eastham
Juliet
1287642
JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Web
PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 5 Uplands
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet

Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet

Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P2 Heritage
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P3 Cultural Facilities
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P4 New Retail and Leisure Uses in Town Centres
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P6 Health
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
-	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound
prepared?	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-P7 Sport and Recreation
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Person ID	1287642
Person ID Title	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network
Person ID Title Type	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf
Person ID Title Type Include files Soundness - Positively	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound
Person ID Title Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared?	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound
Person ID Title Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound
Person ID Title Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound
Person ID Title Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Soundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound Unsound
Person ID Title Type Include files Soundness - Positively prepared? Soundness - Justified? Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Soundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally compliant? Compliance - In accordance with the	1287642 JP-C1 An Integrated Network Web PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf Unsound Unsound Unsound No

Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-C2 Digital Connectivity
Type Include files	Web PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-C3 Public Transport
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-C4 Streets for All
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-C5 Walking and Cycling Network
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-C6 Freight and Logistics
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-C7 Transport Requirements of New Developments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	Policy JP Allocation 1.2, Simister and Bowlee Legality

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Failure to comply with Statement of Community Involvement

Bury Council have failed to comply with their Statement of Community Involvement Statement Community Involvement (bury.gov.uk) at all stages of the creation of the plan. There w to residents of the initial call for sites and the amount spent on making residents awa disproportionately small (100 as per the response to a Freedom of Information reque to the effect it will have upon them. There has been a deliberate campaign of misinfo misleading statements to promote and "sell" the Plan to residents, rather than a pres facts e.g., residents only being told of the plans for their specific ward, and not being bigger picture across the borough, thus giving the impression that the impact is less has been an over reliance on residents finding things out for themselves on social me and thus a failure to engage with various groups due to over reliance on the use of s technology. There has been no access to public internet, e.g., in libraries, during Cov adversely and disproportionately affected older people and those from deprived back against the SCI 2.4 & 4.17. Countrywide, Covid restrictions are now lifted but restrict in place in Bury"s Statement of Community Involvement (SCI para 1.7). Consultation inaccessible in terms of language and terminology used and have been a deterrent involved in the planning process as they have been wordy, long winded, and intrusive an irrelevant response rate.

National Planning Policy Framework greenbelt protection clauses

The purpose of the NPPF greenbelt protection is to prevent urban sprawl. Developin belt site will create an urban sprawl contrary to NPPF para 137 and para 138 a,b,c, a

This proposed allocation will result in the loss of approximately 74 hectares of Green of Green Belt currently performs strongly in relation to checking the unrestricted spraw areas and in preventing neighbouring towns from merging. The loss of this land from will therefore clearly result in harm which has not been justified. The case for exception to release this site for development has simply not been made given the lack of suita of reasonable alternatives.

To prove that exceptional circumstances to justify alteration to greenbelt boundaries requires evidence that all other reasonable options to meet identified need have bee (NPPF para 141). This must include maximising use of brownfield and underutilised sites density.

Assessments

There has been a failure to conduct thorough and independent ecological assessmen carried out have been done on behalf of developers and are therefore not independent flood risk and other surveys have been carried out by consultancies on behalf of and developers rather than entirely independent wildlife organisations or the Department of so must be considered potentially biased.

The Housing Need Assessment was carried out by Arc4, who were supposed to carry survey of housing need. However, they have a partnership with Greater Manchester Partnership, an organisation of housing associations, including Six Town Housing in assessment was therefore not impartial.

Climate change policy and carbon neutral policy

Simister and Bowlee currently have illegal air quality readings due to the motorways M66) surrounding the site. Bury Council have confirmed by email that they are not re Strategic Road Networks (motorways) and this is Highways England. However, the I a duty of care for all residents and should consider all intelligence particularly when it the health and wellbeing of local residents.

Highways England provided the readings through a freedom of information request a on the Strategic Road Networks around Simister and Bowlee in 2015/2016 were:

- 75% at illegal limit

-15% at legal limit

-10% not full year readings

With the introduction of a 1.2 million square metres of industrial and 1550 homes this increase already illegal levels of carbon emissions even further.

Point 17 Page 233 of the PfE states we will "incorporate appropriate noise and air que measures and high-quality landscaping along the M60 motorway corridors and local required within the allocation."

Highways England have already tried this through the Barrier erecting study and it fa and after results were provided and it was confirmed there was no reduction in pollut

Up to date information

The PfE indicates in Para 1.63 point 2 that the most up to date information be used i so being the most recent Bury"s Housing Development Needs Assessment 2020 mu consideration: https://www.bury.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=15866

Soundness

Site Selection

The site selection process for Bury has been especially opaque. Little information has about why other more apparently suitable sites were rejected, or what alternatives we Bury Council admitted in a Freedom of Information response that site selection was de of informal meetings with no list of attendees or minutes available. This site choice can as the most appropriate when no reasonable alternatives appear to have been example options were ruled out too early or were not considered despite other areas having s appropriate criteria.

The Simister and Bowlee allocation only meets 4 out of 10 of the broad objectives with the PfE plan:

- Objective 1 - Meet our housing need.

- Objective 3 Playing our part in ensuring a thriving and productive economy in all pa Manchester.

- Objective 5 - Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity.

- Objective 6 - Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods, and information

These and other objectives could be satisfied by any number of sites in the area.

According to the Greater Manchester Green Belt assessment the Simister and Bowle strong or weak to moderate contribution to the purpose of the greenbelt in each of the

To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas - Strong

To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another - Strong

To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment - Weak to moderate

Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns - Weak to moderate

However, it is believed the Simister and Bowlee site has been assessed incorrectly a contributions should be strong or strong to moderate. The definitions below have bee GM Green Belt Assessment document:

-To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment

oStrong - The land parcel contains the characteristics of countryside, has no or very development, and is open.

oModerate - The land parcel contains the characteristics of countryside, has limited development, and is relatively open.

-Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns

oStrong - The parcel plays a major role in the setting and or special character of histor of its physical extent and degree of visibility and/or its significant contribution to special character of the setting and or special character of history of its physical extent and degree of visibility and/or its significant contribution to special character of history of the setting and or special character of history of its physical extent and degree of visibility and/or its significant contribution to special character of history of its physical extent and degree of visibility and/or its significant contribution to special character of history of the setting and or special character of history of its physical extent and degree of visibility and/or its significant contribution to special character of history of the setting and or special character of history of the setting and or special character of history of its physical extension.

oModerate - The parcel plays a moderate role in the setting of historic towns in terms extent and degree of visibility and/or its contribution to special character.

Several character areas are included in this allocation, such as National Character Area Pennine Fringe, Simister, Slattocks and Heald Green, as well as Fringe Settled Valle Settled Farmlands. At paragraph 18.3, the Topic Paper describes the character of the undulating pasture and rough grassland, mature trees, hedgerows, woodland blocks farmsteads etc. These would all be destroyed if the development of this allocation we

The site can be seen from a number of longer vantage points, as well as in the immedia However, due to the scale, form, and nature of the proposed development, visual an adversely affected. The landscape mitigation proposals will not address these fundar

There are numerous key habitats on the site, including wetlands, woodland, grassland all be damaged and could be lost as a result of this scheme. Additionally, the scheme impact protected species, including great crested newts, as well as wider ecological have not been adequately considered in the plan. There is no consensus that biodiver be achieved at this site, given the extent of loss of existing vegetation and greenspace

According to the Topic Paper at paragraph 191.0, there will be an attempt to achieve there is no guarantee that it will be delivered. This is contrary to current national plann could jeopardize the allocation. In addition to the impact of the development itself, the site area to major highways also raises concerns about air and noise pollution.

The lack of selection criteria met and the harm that will be caused by the release of t Bowlee greenbelt are evidence of the lack of justification for the selection of this site. In Council leader, David Jones, admitted in writing that sites had been selected due to and the ease of implementation of infrastructure, saying,

"The proposed strategy within the GMSF is to release a small number of large strateg Green Belt as these will provide the scale and massing of development that is needer viable delivery of the essential major infrastructure to support the development."

The majority of the site is located within flood zone 1 with existing watercourses with boundary and ponds which could pose a risk. Furthermore, given the anticipated scale and the large increase in hard surfacing, there is a serious risk that the site could res adjacent sites as well as localised floods due to increased surface water runoff.

Paragraph 12.2 of the Topic Paper supports these concerns and draws attention to p on groundwater flooding. Given the importance of ensuring that developments are pr most appropriate and safe areas, greater consideration of flood risk should be given the Plan process, prior to adoption, to ensure that the allocations are appropriate and Leaving these issues to the design stage is simply inappropriate as they fall to the pr development.

The viability of this site is noted to have been calculated with a 25% contribution tow housing in Bury and at 7.5% of GDV in Rochdale. However, because the PfE Plan determines for delivering affordable housing throughout the Plan, it is uncertain w figures are based on correct and reasonable assumptions. The GMCA has determined viable, but there are a number of issues that must be addressed before the site can deliverable.

#### Infrastructure

The Topic Paper supporting this allocation states in paragraph 11.1 that extensive in investment, including a wide range of public transportation enhancements, is require implementation. This aims to prove that the site is unsustainable in its current state ar connected to an existing urban area or community. As a result, the site is deemed ur allocation.

In paragraph 11.2, it is confirmed that this development will have a major influence on the and local road networks, both in isolation and in combination with other neighbouring impact on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) is expected to be focused at M60 Junc Junction 19, while the impact on the Local Road Network (LRN) is projected to be con intersections on the A6045 Heywood Old Road. To facilitate and deliver this site, it is e investment and improvements to the highway network will be required.

These works are of such a scale as to potentially render the scheme unviable. Furthconstruction will have a major negative impact on current inhabitants, not just due to roadworks during construction, but also due to traffic, increased idle vehicles, and low once the development is completed.

Investment in public transport is unlikely to be adequate to alleviate these legitimate con when considering the cumulative consequences of all the anticipated growth in the s

Any development within the proposed allocation site would need to assess the requirem social infrastructure (education, healthcare etc). the impact of these contributions on the site also needs careful consideration to ensure that the allocation is in fact delivered.

To deliver this allocation there are requirements for investment in the transport network provision, school places, health, historic assets etc. All of which could well have a de on the viability and delivery of the site

Housing delivery targets

Bury Council have consistently failed to meet housing delivery targets and are now in To be effective a plan must actually be deliverable. The plan relies heavily on the coor property developers. There is no indication of how they will be made to keep up with sanctions will apply if they don"t. At a Council meeting held on 9/9/21 the Leader of I Eammon O" Brien confirmed that it was "unlikely" that the proposed building rates for a in Bury would be met as they were "unrealistic". So, the plan cannot be considered to fails the effectiveness test for Soundness.

Housing requirements

	Housing requirements
	Government guidance is clear that standard housing methodology is just a starting p changed in exceptional circumstances  this has not been thoroughly explored. A la land in the area and in particular the economic shock caused by Brexit and Covid 19 considered.
	There is insufficient confidence in the accuracy of the predictions in the current unce climate to justify Green Belt loss at the start of the plan. Greenbelt loss should only of brownfield has been exhausted. A review mechanism should be built in to only include later stage if proven necessary. PfE para1.42 states: "The majority of development be 2037 (the "plan period") will be on land within the urban area, most of which is brown favours a brownfield first policy wherever possible as does National Policy. Bury Coun- the public in Bury that they will implement a brownfield first policy. When questioned meeting on 9/9/21 the Leader of the Councillor Eammon O" Brien clarified this stater that for anything the council themselves build they would adopt a brownfield first poli that the council have no control over the actions of private developers. In reality they limit the release of green belt sites in accordance with National Policy NPPF 134 par
	Changes to greenbelt boundaries
	As part of the overall plan Bury have modified green belt boundaries and allocations make it appear that less Greenbelt is being sacrificed. The loss of the Simister and Bow has been partially offset by creating extensive but unusable greenbelt in other areas exceptional circumstances. This is not in accordance with National Policy.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Removal of JPA 1.2 Simister and Bowlee from the plan
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted general comment - Please add any comments not addressed above	RECLASSIFIED
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 2: Stakehill
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 3.1: Medipark
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 3.2: Timperley Wedge
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 4: Bewshill Farm
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 5: Chequerbent North
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 6: West of Wingates / M61 Junction 6
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area

Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons -	Elton Reservoir Proposal (JPA-7)
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant	-The PfE indicates in Para 1.63 point 2 that the most up to date information be used so being the most recent Bury"s Housing Development Needs Assessment 2020 mu consideration: https://www.bury.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=15866
to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	-The site selection process for Bury has been especially opaque. Little information h about why other more apparently suitable sites were rejected, or what alternatives w Bury Council admitted in a Freedom of Information response that site selection was de of informal meetings with no list of attendees or minutes available. This site choice ca as the most appropriate when no reasonable alternatives appear to have been exam Reservoir site does not meet the selection criteria laid down in the NPPF or the GMO https://www.bury.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=16330 Radcliffe the location of Elton Re least expensive housing in Bury but was selected in preference to sites in other areas housing is required.
	-Para 11.105 p 264 states: "The allocation [Elton Reservoir] is almost entirely surrou existing urban area" Filling this green belt site in will contribute to creating urban spracompliance with National Policy NPPF para 134 parts a,c and e.
	-Para 11.105 p 264 states: "Although the allocation has the capacity to deliver a total new homes, it is anticipated that around 1,900 of these will be delivered within the pl Nevertheless, it is considered necessary to release the site in full at this stage given the proposed development means that it will need to be supported by significant strate and this level of investment needs the certainty that the remaining development will s come forward beyond the plan period". Such gross over release of greenbelt is entire National Guidelines, which regards greenbelt as a precious resource not to be square to identify the source of infrastructure funding, indeed shortfalls are expected see pa Site owners Peel are not specifically mentioned as being a contributor to the infrastructure questions should be asked regarding the reasons for Bury Council offering up a hug greenbelt at Elton Reservoir that is not required during the plan period (and may new instead of retaining it in accordance with National Policy.
	-The Elton site apparently cost Peel 27M (as detailed in the site allocation topic pa 260 hectares (104K per hectare) as greenbelt. Allowing a conservative price uplift of for green belt conversion to development land, the land for the initial 1900 site becom 875M. Adding in the land for the totally unjustified additional housing beyond the pl approx. another 750 M. The implication being that unless Peel get the whole 1.32 they can't offer any upfront funding for the infrastructure. Infrastructure that would not the development does not go ahead. Peel have indicated that they will possibly build will definitely split the site into lots to be developed by other developers so they (Pee contributions this way. It would be left to Bury to extract the funding from other as ye developers. Bury have a very poor reputation for obtaining developer contributions for and developers always try to wriggle out of any obligations. It seems Peel have dupe

into ignoring National Policy and granting them a huge financial bonus with no commanything.

-Site wildlife, flood risk and other surveys have been carried out by consultancies on b
for by developers rather than entirely independent wildlife organisations or the Depa
Environment so must be considered potentially biased. This is particularly important a
as there are currently problems with the reservoir wall which are being addressed by
Rivers trust. These measures may be suitable for providing some protection to open fi
suitable to protect homes from flooding if there is a breech? Such surveys should be ent
of benefiter influence.

-As part of the infrastructure a new secondary school for Radcliffe is mentioned. A new school for Radcliffe is already planned funded by the Government. The proposed ne even cater for existing Radcliffe pupil numbers. Since the proposed school is indicate already reserved for the free school we must assume PfE document refers to the scl planned. Regeneration for Radcliffe the location of the Elton Reservoir development is
as part of the infrastructure funding. A regeneration plan for Radcliffe is already in pla
have applied for Government levelling up funding and have stated that even if the ap
not succeed the regeneration will go ahead using existing Council money. Bury Cour
that regeneration and the new school for Radcliffe are not dependent on PfE going a mention/implication that PfE will contribute to providing a new secondary school (unle school) and regeneration for Radcliffe must be removed from JPA-7.

-Bury Council have consistently failed to meet housing delivery targets and are now To be effective a plan must actually be deliverable. The plan relies heavily on the coor property developers. There is no indication of how they will be made to keep up with sanctions will apply if they don"t. At a Council meeting held on 9/9/21 the Leader of I Eammon O"Brien confirmed that it was "unlikely" that the proposed building rates for a in Bury (as laid out in JPA7 Elton Reservoir Topic Paper PfE 2021, section 27.8 page met as they were "unrealistic". So the plan cannot be considered to be effective. So effectiveness test for Soundness.

-As part of the overall plan Bury have modified green belt boundaries and allocations to make it appear that less Greenbelt is being sacrificed. So the loss of the Elton Reserv has been partially offset by creating extensive greenbelt in other areas without justify circumstances. This is not in accordance with National Policy.

-PfE puts the majority of housing in the West of Bury (Elton Reservoir site) while loca the East side of Bury on the M66 Northern Gateway corridor completely the other sic congested Bury. The proposed new link road will not help this problem as it links one to another.

-PfE para1.42 states: "The majority of development between 2021 and 2037 (the "pl be on land within the urban area, most of which is brownfield land" PfE favours a brow wherever possible as does National Policy. Bury Council have informed the public in will implement a brownfield first policy; however, they are going for immediate green JPA7 Elton Reservoir Topic Paper PfE 2021, section 27.9 page 52). When questione meeting on 9/9/21 the Leader of the Councillor Eammon O"Brien clarified this statem that for anything the council themselves build they would adopt a brownfield first poli that the council have no control over the actions of private developers, in reality they of limit the release of green belt sites in accordance with National Policy NPPF 134 par

	infinit the release of green beit sites in accordance with National Folicy NFT 1154 par
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Removal of JPA 7 allocation Elton Reservoir from the plan
Family Name	Eastham

Juliet
1287642
JPA 8: Seedfield
Web
PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
No
No
Policy JP Allocation 9, Walshaw
Legality
Failure to comply with Statement of Community Involvement
Bury Council have failed to comply with their Statement of Community Involvement ( Community Involvement (bury.gov.uk) at all stages of the creation of the plan. There we to residents of the initial call for sites and the amount spent on making residents award disproportionately small (□100 as per the response to a Freedom of Information reque to the effect it will have upon them. There has been a deliberate campaign of misinfor misleading statements to promote and "sell" the Plan to residents, rather than a press facts eg residents only being told of the plans for their specific ward, and not being in bigger picture across the borough, thus giving the impression that the impact is less has been an over reliance on residents finding things out for themselves on social me and thus a failure to engage with various groups due to over reliance on the use of st technology. There has been no access to public internet, eg in libraries, during Covid. T and disproportionately affected older people and those from deprived backgrounds. the SCI 2.4 & 4.17. Countrywide, Covid restrictions are now lifted but restrictions still in Bury"s Statement of Community Involvement (SCI para 1.7). Consultations have b in terms of language and terminology used and have been a deterrent to becoming it planning process as they have been wordy, long winded and intrusive, thus producir response rate. National Planning Policy Framework greenbelt protection clauses
<ul> <li>The purpose of the NPPF greenbelt protection is to prevent urban sprawl. Para 11.1 PfE states of the Walshaw allocation,</li> <li>"This is an extensive area of land </li> <li>set entirely within the existing urban area. The bounded by the urban areas of Tottington to the north, Woolfold and Elton to the east the south and Walshaw to the west."</li> <li>Filling in this green belt site will create an urban sprawl contrary to NPPF para 137 ar and e.</li> <li>There has been no evidence of the existence of exceptional circumstances to justify the greenbelt boundaries to allow building on the Walshaw allocation as is required by 140. Housing need is not an exceptional circumstance to justify the release of greenbert guidance states that housing need is not a target but merely a starting point and figures</li> </ul>

upwards or downwards according to local circumstances, eg lack of brownfield, econor Covid-19).

To prove that exceptional circumstances to justify alteration to greenbelt boundaries requires evidence that all other reasonable options to meet identified need have bee (NPPF para 141). This must include maximising use of brownfield and underutilised sites density.

#### Assessments

There has been a failure to conduct thorough and independent ecological assessmen carried out have been done on behalf of developers and are therefore not independent flood risk and other surveys have been carried out by consultancies on behalf of and developers rather than entirely independent wildlife organisations or the Department of so must be considered potentially biased.

The Housing Need Assessment was carried out by Arc4, who were supposed to carry survey of housing need. However, they have a partnership with Greater Manchester Partnership, an organisation of housing associations, including Six Town Housing in assessment was therefore not impartial.

Climate change policy and carbon neutral policy

Places for Everyone proposes employment sites on the other side of the borough from the M66 Northern Gateway Corridor, necessitating travel by car as no direct public transformer exists or is proposed, thus increasing carbon emissions. Local transport hubs in Bury are from Walshaw by a car journey or an expensive, unreliable and infrequent bus service, carbon emissions. The proposed new link road at Walshaw will do nothing to alleviate the roads, simply transferring the problem from one place to another.

### Up to date information

The PfE indicates in Para 1.63 point 2 that the most up to date information be used i so being the most recent Bury"s Housing Development Needs Assessment 2020 mu consideration: https://www.bury.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=15866

# Soundness

# Site Selection

The site selection process for Bury has been especially opaque. Little information has about why other more apparently suitable sites were rejected, or what alternatives we Bury Council admitted in a Freedom of Information response that site selection was de of informal meetings with no list of attendees or minutes available. This site choice can as the most appropriate when no reasonable alternatives appear to have been examoptions were ruled out too early or were not considered despite other areas having of access or being situated nearer to employment sites.

In addition, the Walshaw site performs poorly against site selection criteria and strongly a assessment criteria. Therefore the inclusion of the Walshaw site cannot be justified:

-The Walshaw site only met one of the criteria for site selection, namely the most get criteria, Criteria 7, land that would deliver significant local benefits by addressing a ma (Site Allocation Topic Paper JPA 9 Walshaw pg 8, para 5.4). The only major local pro in Walshaw is the extra traffic that will be created by the proposed 1250 new houses houses, there is not a major problem and the infrastructure proposed would not be n essentially a cyclical argument and not a specific justification for the inclusion of the

NB In the Site Selection Background Paper, Criteria 7 is missing from the table of site at pg 18.

-The Walshaw allocation only meets 3 out of 10 of the broad objectives within Sectio plan (Site Allocation Topic Paper JPA 9 Walshaw pg 8, para 5.7):

- Objective 1 Meet our housing need;
- Objective 5 Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity;

- Objective 6 - Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information

Again, these objectives could be satisfied by any number of sites in the area.

-The Walshaw site makes a strong or moderate to strong contribution to the purpose in each of the areas of the Greater Manchester Greenbelt Assessment 2016 (Site Al Paper JPA 9 Walshaw, pages 27 - 28, para 15.3):

To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas Moderate-Strong

To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another Strong

To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment Moderate-Strong

Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns Moderate-Strong

-Site Allocation Topic Paper JPA 9 Walshaw at page 29 para 15.8 refers to The Gree Assessment, 2020 which concluded that the Walshaw allocation makes a moderate checking the sprawl of Greater Manchester and safeguarding the countryside from e The allocation also makes a relatively limited contribution to maintaining the separate Tottington which are already merged to a significant degree. Release of the allocation cause moderate harm to Green Belt purposes.

The lack of selection criteria met and the harm that will be caused by the release of t greenbelt are evidence of the lack of justification for the selection of this site. In fact, ar leader, David Jones, admitted in writing that sites had been selected due to their she ease of implementation of infrastructure, saying,

"the proposed strategy within the GMSF is to release a small number of large strateg Green Belt as these will provide the scale and massing of development that is needeviable delivery of the essential major infrastructure to support the development."

The needs of the Walshaw community have been overlooked in favour of mass urban this particular site rather than sites on the outskirts nearer motorway access, transport employment sites. There is too much emphasis on economic growth at the expense physical health of residents with the benefits of the greenbelt being underestimated.

#### Infrastructure

The only way in which the funding levels required for infrastructure could be achieved of a 5% increase in the price of the properties on the site: Site Allocation Topic Paper-or pg 44, 45 and 46. Realistically, this makes the infrastructure for the site undeliverable

"The Three Dragons Viability Appraisal of the allocation has been run using the base showed the allocation would likely require public support to proceed.

The Three Dragons report shows that without a contribution to strategic transport coproduces a positive residual value both for the main and the sensitivity test. However, in house prices of less than 5% would be required to accommodate the full strategic identified.

26.3 With a small increase in values compared to the base model, the sensitivity test that the allocation would be able to support all policy costs including 25% affordable infrastructure required to support the development, including the strategic transport cost is considered appropriate for this location as it is in a popular residential area and is cl Walshaw and the areas to the west of Bury where house prices are typically higher t of the town."

There is no guarantee that higher house prices would be achieved. This also sugges of some infrastructure will not be contemporaneous with the building of houses and v forthcoming once funds have been raised. This is supported at Site Allocation Topic Walshaw pg 46 para 27.2 which states that,

"The phasing strategy will be developed through on-going discussions with key staken to infrastructure delivery. The estimated phasing and delivery trajectory will evolve as allocation are developed further."

The plan for infrastructure is therefore unsound as it is undeliverable and thus the sit

Insufficient and vague infrastructure for Walshaw has been proposed, with no source specified. Bury have a very poor reputation for obtaining developer contributions for ir developers always try to wriggle out of any obligations. We are told by the Council that are no longer ringfenced so there is no guarantee that promised infrastructure will be

-Healthcare

There is no specific proposal for additional healthcare facilities. Site Allocation Topic Walshaw at page 43, para 25.1 states that,

"Further work will be required to determine whether there is additional capacity withi healthcare facilities to meet the increased demands arising from the prospective occur development."

-Education

Whilst there is a plan for an extra primary school in Walshaw, there is no feasible plan with the increased number of secondary school age pupils. Site Allocation Topic Pape at page 43, para 24.1 states that,

"The Walshaw allocation is expected to yield approximately 263 primary age pupils an age pupils. Current forecasts show both primary and secondary schools in the area therefore all additional demand created would require additional school places."

"Cumulative secondary age demand pressures will need to be considered more stra 24.2)

It is proposed that secondary places will merely be funded from "financial contributions secondary school provision" to meet the needs generated by the development (PfE, not acceptable and will only provide a short term solution. The Elton High School in V oversubscribed by 175 places in 2021 and the furthest distance offered from the school 1/3 of a mile Distribution of places in Bury secondary schools for September 2021. If that the Walshaw site will yield an additional 175 secondary age pupils, a more perma an additional secondary school in the locality as well as the proposed secondary school area as they move through the education system.

### -Transport

"The most significant role which PfE will play in this respect is to locate development sustainable locations which reduce the need for car travel, for example by maximisin densities around transport hubs." IWhat are Places for Everyone's proposals for the Bury Council

Walshaw is not situated near to motorway junctions or to transport or employment hur residents to travel across Bury to access them. The only improvement to public transproposed is "a potential upgrade of existing bus services or a new bus service" (PfE public transport route to employment hubs is proposed.

The proposed new road link will not ease traffic and will potentially create further con the Transport Locality Assessments GMSF 2020, the map at page B9, figure 3 show will start from a mini roundabout on a narrow residential road, cross a busy main roa Lowercroft Road at Dow Lane where the road is steep and very narrow (barely wide cars to pass safely). The road will be sending traffic to all of the same pinch points th Irwell. It will exacerbate congestion on local roads, which are already highly congeste has been taken of the additional traffic which will be produced at the Andrews housin site just down the road from the Walshaw allocation.

# Housing delivery targets

Bury Council have consistently failed to meet housing delivery targets and are now in To be effective a plan must actually be deliverable. The plan relies heavily on the coor property developers. There is no indication of how they will be made to keep up with sanctions will apply if they don"t. At a Council meeting held on 9/9/21 the Leader of I Eammon O" Brien confirmed that it was "unlikely" that the proposed building rates for a in Bury (as laid out in JPA9 Walshaw Topic Paper PfE 2021, section 27.4 page 46) w they were "unrealistic". So the plan cannot be considered to be effective and fails the test for Soundness.

# Housing requirements

Government guidance is clear that standard housing methodology is just a starting p changed in exceptional circumstances - this has not been thoroughly explored. A lac land in the area and in particular the economic shock caused by Brexit and Covid 19 taken into account.

brownfield has been exhausted. A review mechanism should be built in to only include later stage if proven necessary. PfE para1.42 states: "The majority of development be 2037 (the "plan period") will be on land within the urban area, most of which is brown favours a brownfield first policy wherever possible as does National Policy. Bury Coun- the public in Bury that they will implement a brownfield first policy. When questioned meeting on 9/9/21 the Leader of the Councillor Eammon O" Brien clarified this states that for anything the council themselves build they would adopt a brownfield first poli- that the council have no control over the actions of private developers. In reality they limit the release of green belt sites in accordance with National Policy NPPF 134 par Changes to greenbelt boundaries As part of the overall plan Bury have modified green belt boundaries and allocations make it appear that less Greenbelt is being sacrificed. The loss of the Walshaw site		There is insufficient confidence in the accuracy of the predictions in the current unce climate to justify Green Belt loss at the start of the plan. Greenbelt loss should only o
As part of the overall plan Bury have modified green belt boundaries and allocations make it appear that less Greenbelt is being sacrificed. The loss of the Walshaw site been partially offset by creating extensive but unusable greenbelt in other areas with exceptional circumstances. This is not in accordance with National Policy.Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect or soundness matters you have identified above.Removal of JPA 9 Walshaw from the planFamily Name Given NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJPA 9: WalshawTypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Lustified? with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?NoCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - Legally compliant?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPrepared?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?NoCompliance - In accordance with the pury to Cooperate?NoCompliance - In accordance with the pury to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		brownfield has been exhausted. A review mechanism should be built in to only includ later stage if proven necessary. PfE para1.42 states: "The majority of development be 2037 (the "plan period") will be on land within the urban area, most of which is brown favours a brownfield first policy wherever possible as does National Policy. Bury Count the public in Bury that they will implement a brownfield first policy. When questioned meeting on 9/9/21 the Leader of the Councillor Eammon O" Brien clarified this stater that for anything the council themselves build they would adopt a brownfield first poli that the council have no control over the actions of private developers. In reality they limit the release of green belt sites in accordance with National Policy NPPF 134 par
make it appear that less Greenbelt is being sacrificed. The loss of the Walshaw site been partially offset by creating extensive but unusable greenbelt in other areas with exceptional circumstances. This is not in accordance with National Policy.Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal complianceRemoval of JPA 9 Walshaw from the planFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJPA 9 WalshawTypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Jostively with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective? Family NameNoCompliance - Legally compliant?NoSoundness - Effective? Family NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPreson ID1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively propared?UnsoundSoundness - Positively outpinant at a soundNoSoundness - Effective? Family NameNoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		
- Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect or soundness matters you have identified above.EasthamFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJPA 9: WalshawTypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Legally with national policy?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		been partially offset by creating extensive but unusable greenbelt in other areas with exceptional circumstances. This is not in accordance with National Policy.
Given NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJPA 9: WalshawTypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	- Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified	Removal of JPA 9 Walshaw from the plan
Person ID1287642TitleJPA 9: WalshawTypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally ourpliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Family Name	Eastham
TitleJPA 9: WalshawTypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Given Name	Juliet
TypeWebInclude filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Person ID	1287642
Include filesPFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdfSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Туре	Web
prepared?InsoundSoundness - Justified?UnsoundSoundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Include files	
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	-	Unsound
with national policy?Soundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		Unsound
compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?EasthamFamily NameEasthamGiven NameJulietPerson ID1287642TitleJP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		No
Given Name     Juliet       Person ID     1287642       Title     JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation	accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	
Person ID     1287642       Title     JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		
Title         JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation		Juliet
	- <u>-</u>	
Type Web		
	Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation

Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Due to the size of the greenbelt sites allocated within the plan it is highly unlikely that t can be provided in good time to bring these sites forward within the plan period. This plan undeliverable within the plan period hence making it unsound.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Smaller sites should be considered that would come forward faster like brownfield si have substantial infrastructure provided close by.
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
consultation point not	It is very well documented that once a site is approved for development it can be rev date with a viability assessment. Local councils have very little control after a site has for houses and it is common practice for a developer to change the number of home density, type and number that are classed as affordable. In some extreme cases a dev inflated development costs and no section 106 payments will come forward.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Local council authorities need to enter into more housing partnership projects and de they own instead of selling it and losing control. Salford Council has now created it's building company that will deliver affordable homes on land they own and other count suit.
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Bolton - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
consultation point not	Net greenbelt additions have been nothing but a play on numbers to promote the pla more greenspace. A lot of the new greenbelt additions are currently not viable for bu simply an exercise to take away the protection of greenbelt from useable open greens them elsewhere in the borough to give the impression that the overall net greenbelt is less.

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave the greenbelt boundaries unchanged and present the true loss of greenbelt la proposals.
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Bury - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Oldham - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Rochdale - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Salford - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet

Person ID	1287642
Title	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Trafford - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Wigan - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Supporting Evidence
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Redacted comment on	Question 123 Considering all the evidence
supporting documents	Legal Compliance
- Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	-It is questionable whether PfE and the GMSF can effectively be treated as the same must be decided in court before 'Places for Everyone' can proceed any further. It is a transition between a spatial framework (GMSF) and a Joint Development plan (PfE) without a significant re-write. While the GMSF may have been established as legally cor with Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning regulations) and could therefore to final public consultation and submission under Regulation 19 (this current stage) F established. If there is any substantial difference in scope between the GMSF and P assumed that Regulation 18 is Automatically satisfied for PfE. Para 1.23 states 'The between GMSF 2020 and PfE 2021 are not insignificant in numerical terms, indeed a plan have seen some form of change.' So, is 'not insignificant' the same as 'substantia' is not legal. This can only be established by a proper judicial review. So until proven plan must be considered illegal and not put to Government.
	Soundness
	Soundness -The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impact of Covid-19. Housing need must be re-assessed using the latest (2018) ONS population take into account the effect of Covid on work patterns.
	-There is little detail on how the required infrastructure will be paid for. The plan need to identify how all the infrastructure will be paid
	-There are no partners or industries identified for employment provision. Major partners provision should be identified.
	-There has been poor public consultation, a lack of accessible information and little s in generating awareness. Interest in the plan has mainly been generated by local pro public consultations should be repeated, providing clear, understandable information designed to encourage rather than discourage public input.
	-The site selection process has been opaque with no explanation as to why some sit sites' were excluded from the plan. https://mappinggm.org.uk/call-for-sites/#os_maps_outdoor/16/53.6380/-2.3228 The be repeated using National and GMCA guidelines for site selection. Meetings with publ

Places for Everyone Representation 2021		
	should be held and minutes should be published. The rationale for the selection/reject should be available including considered alternatives.	
	-Several of the authorities involved have consistently failed to meet housing delivery tar a plan must be deliverable. The plan relies on the cooperation of property developer indication of how delivery targets will be maintained. A strategy to guarantee housing must be provided. This cannot be left to any local authority that is currently behind on Clear delivery plans for infrastructure should be included.	
	-PfE shows removal of greenbelt protection for some areas and creation of greenbelt is no proof of exceptional circumstances required in the National Planning Policy Fra this.	
	-In addition to PfE each authority needs to come up with its own local plan. No details about when these plans will be available.	
	-There are no details of how Duty to Cooperate will be achieved. Following their with will effectively become a neighbouring borough. However, it is not acceptable to limit boroughs to Stockport since each of the authorities in the plan is also neighbouring to outside of the plan e.g. Bury is neighbours with Rossendale, Bolton neighbours Blackb Wigan neighbours St Helens and Trafford neighbours Cheshire area.	
	-A change in the methodology for Manchester City Council was resulted in a 35% up Manchester City Council area. The revised Local Housing Need methodology states to is to be met within the district and not redistributed (see Places for Everyone Joint C documentation, 20th July 2021, author Paul Dennett, Page 7 section 2.2 (ii) https://democracy.greatermanchesterca.gov.uk/documents/s15613/PFE_JC_July202 This represents a significant change between the previous spatial framework the Gre Spatial Framework and the current joint development plan Places for Everyone.	
Family Name	Eastham	
Given Name	Juliet	
Person ID	1287642	
Title	Other Comments	
Туре	Web	
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf	
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound	
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound	
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound	
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound	
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No	
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No	
Family Name	Eastham	
Given Name	Juliet	
Person ID	1287642	
Title	Other Comments	
Туре	Web	
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf	

	Traces for Everyone Representation 2021
	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf PFE1287642_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Eastham
Given Name	Juliet
Person ID	1287642
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287642_GeneralResponse_Redacted.pdf PFE1287642_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287642_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287642_SOSSimister.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No